## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI EASTERN DIVISION

CHARLES FISHER

V.

NO. 1:09CV312-P-A

MARGARET BINGHAM, et al.

RESPONDENTS

## **CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY**

Pursuant to the amendment of Rule 11(a) of the Rules Governing 28 U.S.C. §§ 2254 or 2255, and the amendment of FRAP 22, the court hereby finds that:

## PART A

	the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.
SPECIFIC ISS	SUE(S):

X a certificate of appealability should not issue.

REASONS FOR DENIAL: For the reasons stated in the Memorandum Opinion dated May 13, 2010, the court finds that the Petitioner has failed to "demonstrate that the issues are debatable among jurists of reason; that a court could resolve issues in a different manner; or that the questions are adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further." *Barefoot v. Estelle*, 463 U.S. 880, 893 n.4, 103 S. Ct. 3383, 3394 n.4, 77 L. Ed. 2d 1090 (1993) (citations and quotations omitted). Specifically, the court finds, for the reasons set forth in the Memorandum Opinion, that the petition is barred by the statute of limitations.

## PART B

the party appealing is entitled	I to proceed in forma pauperis.		
X the party appealing is not enti	the party appealing is not entitled to proceed in forma pauperis.		
REASONS FOR DENIAL: The Court finds that the Petitioner's appeal is not taken in good faith			
because it is frivolous and has no possibility of success. See FRAP 24.			
Date: May 13, 2010	/s/ W. Allen Pepper, Jr. W. ALLEN PEPPER, JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		